

1  
3 **CHANGING A PEDIATRIC**  
5 **SUB-ACUTE FACILITY TO**  
7 **INCREASE SAFETY AND**  
9 **RELIABILITY**  
11

13 Daved W. van Stralen, Racquel C. Calderon,  
15 Jeff F. Lewis and Karlene H. Roberts

17  
19 **ABSTRACT**

21 *This chapter describes the efforts of a team of health care workers to*  
23 *make a sub-acute health care care facility (SCF) serving profoundly*  
25 *damaged children into a high reliability organization (HRO). To obtain*  
27 *this goal, the health care team implemented change in four behavioral*  
29 *areas: (1) risk awareness and acknowledgment; (2) defining care;*  
31 *(3) how to think and make decisions; and (4) information flow. The team*  
33 *focused on five reliability enhancement issues that emerged from previous*  
*research on banking institutions: (1) process auditing; (2) the reward*  
*system; (3) quality degradation; (4) risk awareness and acknow-*  
*ledgment; and (5) command and control. These HRO processes emerged*  
*from the change effort. Three additional HRO processes also emerged:*  
*high trust, and building a high reliability culture based on values and on*  
*beliefs. This case demonstrates that HRO processes can reduce costs,*  
*improve safety, and aid in developing new markets. Other experiences in*

35  
37 **Patient Safety and Health Care Management**  
**Advances in Health Care Management, Volume 7, 251–274**  
**Copyright © 2008 by Emerald Group Publishing Limited**  
39 **All rights of reproduction in any form reserved**  
**ISSN: 1474-8231**

1 *implementing high reliability processes show that each organization must*  
2 *tailor make processes to its own situation (e.g. BP, U.S. Chemical Safety*  
3 *and Hazards Board, Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. Navy*  
4 *Aviation Program, and Kaiser Permanente Health Care System). Just*  
5 *as in the flexibility called for in organizing for high reliability operations,*  
6 *flexibility is called for in deciding which HRO processes work in specific*  
7 *situations.*

9  
10 For a number of years researchers and practitioners have been interested in  
11 understanding how organizations which must operate nearly flawlessly,  
12 because errors in them can result in catastrophic consequences, do so. Those  
13 organizations in this set which succeed were labeled High Reliability  
14 Organizations (HROs) (Weick, 1987; Rochlin, La Porte, & Roberts, 1987).  
15 Roberts (1990) defines an HRO as an organization conducting relatively  
16 error-free operations, over a long period of time, and making consistently  
17 good decisions resulting in high quality and reliability operations. There are  
18 many examples of organizations which should be HROs in the health care  
19 industry. For example, recently Cedars Sinai Hospital, a hospital usually  
20 lauded for its clinical quality, injected actor Dennis Quaid's infant twins  
21 with doses of Heparin more than 1,000 times larger than normal.

22 Assuming health care HROs perform as well as early research showed  
23 other industries could perform (e.g. Weick & Roberts, 1993; La Porte &  
24 Consolini, 1991; Eisenhardt, 1993), and for the reasons described in that  
25 research, one question remains: How does one create an HRO? Or, if the  
26 organization already exists, how does one transform it from an ordinary  
27 organization into an HRO? This chapter attempts to answer the second  
28 question. It does so through a case study led by the chapter's first author.

29 For the last few years researchers and practitioners interested in  
30 implementing HRO concepts have met in workshops, first in Southern  
31 California and then in Europe. The questions above were the center of  
32 discussion in all the workshops. Today there are various attempts at HRO  
33 implementation running across a variety of industries including health care  
34 (e.g. education, finance, military, commercial aviation, the military, and  
35 NASA).

36 One of the problems with implementation is that there are now a plethora  
37 of constructs about behavioral processes in HROs. HRO researchers say  
38 implementation programs must be tailor made to the settings in which they  
39 are applied. But they have yet to sort out which processes are best suited to  
40 which situations. This study did not review the growing literature on HROs

1 and select from this literature a set of processes. Instead it went back to an  
2 early set of processes developed by Carolyn Libuser (1994) for the banking  
3 industry. It did this because many managers said these processes can be  
4 implemented and some have successfully implemented them. In addition, an  
5 assessment device based on the Libuser model is available. Thus, an  
6 organization can track how it is doing in maintaining high reliability (Gaba,  
7 Singer, Sinaiko, Bowen, & Ciavarelli, 2003). Then, too, other processes  
8 identified in the HRO literature seem more difficult to implement (e.g.  
9 sensemaking and improvisation). The Libuser study provided an initial  
10 conceptual lens to guide this effort. However, several additional high  
11 reliability principles emerged from the implementation process.

12 The study is important in that it highlights the ability to implement a set  
13 of processes in an organization that needed to become an HRO, and it  
14 points out some of the difficulties in doing so. First we will describe  
15 Libuser's guiding principles, then the setting, the behaviors focused on for  
16 change, the outcomes, and, finally, we provide some conclusions from this  
17 activity.

18

## 19 THE GUIDING LENS

20

21 Libuser's model consists of five processes: (1) process auditing, (2) reward  
22 system, (3) quality degradation, (4) perception of risk, and (5) command and  
23 control. Each of these processes is described below.

24

25

### 26 *Process Auditing*

27 An established system of ongoing checks designed to spot expected as well  
28 as unexpected safety problems. Safety drills and equipment testing are  
29 included in this category. Follow-ups on problems revealed by prior audits  
30 are also important.

31

32

### 33 *Reward System*

34 The reward system is the payoff an individual or organization receives for  
35 behaving one way or another. Reward systems in organizations tend to have  
36 powerful influences on behavior of people in them. Inter-organizational  
37 reward systems also influence the behavior of organizations.

38

1 *Quality Degradation*

3 Organizations must struggle to avoid degrading quality. Usually some  
5 referent system is perceived to be the gold standard in this area and all other  
7 organizations attempt to reach the quality levels of the referent.

7 *Perception of Risk*

9 There are two elements of risk perception: (a) whether the organization  
11 knows risk exists, and (b) the extent to which steps are taken to acknowledge  
13 and minimize it.

13 *Command and Control*

15 Libuser borrows this notion from previous research and highlights four key  
17 elements.

- 19 1. *Migrating decision making.* The person with the most expertise, not the  
21 one with the most chevrons, makes the decision.  
23 2. *Redundancy.* People and hardware provide back up systems.  
25 3. *Senior managers who can see the big picture.* Managers do not  
micromanage.  
4. *Formal rules and procedures.* The existence of hierarchy, but not  
bureaucracy.

27 **THE SETTING**

29 In 1995 a pediatric nursing home that cares for severely damaged children  
31 received poor ratings from the state licensing agency and had a poor  
33 reputation in the local medical community. The state banned new  
admissions for several years and applied a high level of scrutiny to the  
35 nursing home's routine reports. It also reduced the number of beds for this  
level of service to about half of the facility's capacity (from about 60–30  
37 beds). This ban lasted from mid-1995 well into 1998. During this period, the  
state also refused to increase payment rates to offset increased costs.

The medical community (physicians and nurses) and the local Emergency  
Medical Services (emergency medical services, fire, and paramedic services)

1 believed the nursing home used poorly trained staff members and provided  
substandard care. The nursing home found itself in a destructive situation  
3 because its reputation precluded it from seeking quality staff members to  
assist in a turn-around; the admissions ban and refusal to increase  
5 reimbursement did not allow for consultants or major changes in the  
program; and adequate time for a change in trajectory was uncertain before  
7 events led to closure.

    A medical director, contracted through a nearby medical school, brought  
9 a strategy for change based on the Libuser research findings. These  
processes are the subject of this study. About the same time these problems  
11 occurred, the nursing home changed its license to a pediatric sub-acute care  
facility (SCF). Children with chronic illness increasingly contribute to the  
13 census of pediatric intensive care units (PICUs) (Briassoulis, Filippou,  
Natsi, Mavrikiou, & Hatzis, 2004), while children with chronic ventilator  
15 needs have increased by nearly threefold in 15 years in one state (Graham,  
Fleegler, & Robinson, 2007). Sub-acute facilities reduce the burden that  
17 long-term ventilator patients place on the resources of an ICU (Lindsay,  
Bijwadia, Schauer, & Rozich, 2004), and provide care for medically  
19 complex, non-communicative, severely disabled children who suffer from  
severe central nervous system (CNS) diseases such as profound mental  
21 retardation, severe developmental delay, and persistent vegetative state.  
These children typically have limited mobility, which leads to further  
23 complications such as scoliosis, contractures, decubitus ulcers, osteoporosis,  
and fragility fractures. The state regulatory agency defines sub-acute care  
25 (for purposes of Medicaid reimbursement) as dependence on two or more  
medical technologies. For example, many children in sub-acute facilities  
27 have both tracheostomy and gastrostomy tubes. Importantly, their reliance  
on technology and their medical fragility can result in sudden physiologic  
29 destabilization and death.

    The facility was staffed by certified nursing attendants (CNAs) and  
31 licensed vocational nurses (LVNs), with one supervising registered nurse  
(RN). Several respiratory care practitioners (RCPs) provided respiratory  
33 care and managed the mechanical ventilators, which were the type used in  
private homes.

    The facility desired to improve the level of care provided to clients,  
35 rehabilitate its image to licensing agencies and medical professionals,  
expand its market, and increase the level of clinical services offered to  
37 clients. Without these changes, the facility would continue to struggle  
financially, and possibly fail.  
39

1

## THE CHANGE

3 To implement change, the administrative team focused on four areas of  
5 behavior: (1) risk awareness and acknowledgment, (2) defining care, (3) how  
7 to think and make decisions, and (4) information flow. In varying degrees,  
9 Libuser's five processes (process auditing, reward system, quality degradation,  
perception of risk, and command and control) inform each of these  
four areas of behavior.

11

### *Risk Awareness and Acknowledgment*

13 Hospitals' risk profiles are quantitatively and qualitatively different from  
15 nursing homes. Hospital risks arise from acute or critical illness that can  
17 lead to rapid physiological destabilization affecting the respiratory,  
19 cardiovascular, or neurological systems. Nursing home risks are generally  
21 due to complications of care such as falls, dehydration, or aspiration of oral  
23 secretions or gastric contents into the airway. Time dependence for action in  
25 hospital operations is in minutes (critical care) or hours (acute care); in  
27 contrast, time dependence is in hours or days for the typical nursing home.  
As a result, nursing home work cultures rarely incorporate processes for  
clinically engaging and responding to dynamic physiological dysfunctions.  
Instead, at the earliest sign of such dysfunction, a nursing home will  
typically transfer the client to an acute care hospital, usually through the  
emergency medical services 911 system. Interestingly, these different time  
dependencies are symbolically captured by the way these institutions refer to  
their primary customers. Hospitals refer to patients who receive treatment,  
while nursing homes refer to clients who reside at the facility.

29 Differences in hospitals' versus nursing homes' time demands and  
dependencies lead to staff self-selection paradigms. Staff members elect to  
31 work in acute care hospitals for the greater variety of patient situations,  
increased responsibility for care decisions, and short-term relationships with  
33 patients. Hospitals generally use RNs to provide care. Staff members who  
migrate to nursing homes generally prefer low-tempo work conditions and  
35 building long term, connected relationships with clients.

Sub-acute facilities may be viewed as a hybrid of intensive care, acute  
37 hospital care, and nursing home care where clients with chronic, stable illness  
reside, but may abruptly deteriorate. Given the prevailing nursing home  
39 culture, it is counter-intuitive that the typical long-term care staff members  
would become proficient at the tasks required to care for such children.

1 Hence, without differently trained and motivated staff members, sub-  
acute nursing home children would quickly lose their chances at life.  
3 Educational programs do not prepare nursing home medical caregivers to  
engage in unexpected high-risk problems. As noted previously, when faced  
5 with a sudden medical emergency, the typical nursing home caregiver calls  
for emergency services through the 911 system. Moreover, when faced with  
7 a vague or ambiguous medical condition, nursing home staff members will  
call the physician for a “change of condition,” which usually leads to the  
9 physician referring the client to the 911 system without further treatment.

The first objective, then, was to have staff members identify the high-risk  
11 nature of the environment by identifying their internalized beliefs. The  
medical director began asking staff members if the children were in danger.  
13 Invariably, a CNA, LVN, or RCP answered, “No this is a nursing home.”  
After a series of such answers, the medical director invited the staff to a  
15 picnic in the parking lot for several hours. No one accepted the invitation  
because they all believed that if the children were left alone, one of them  
17 would die. In subsequent discussions, each staff member identified several  
ways children would die if vigilant care was not present. Staff members  
19 began identifying the more obvious causes such as tracheostomy tube  
dislodgement, falls from the bed, and fever. With time, they began to discuss  
21 these obvious risks in terms of early heralds of events, such as the active  
child who may pull at the tracheostomy tubing or the child who, with  
23 strengthening arms, has the strength to pull to a sitting position and may  
now fall over the rail. These discussions became the basis for developing the  
25 facility as a HRO.

Conversation was now opened to discuss both client risks and the  
27 expertise the staff had developed to identify early subtle signals and engage  
in problem solving. Early attention to problems allowed treatment when a  
29 child’s disease was more amenable to therapy, with fewer and less severe  
complications. Staff members began to understand that they provided high-  
31 risk care in a medically austere environment, with special characteristics.

To elaborate, in nursing homes, staff members have inherent difficulties  
33 interpreting responses from non-communicative clients. They are taught to  
use physiologic findings rather than any diagnosis, as complications  
35 frequently develop from the synergy between diagnoses or between  
physiologic systems. Collaboration among staff members helped interpret  
37 these signs.

For example, the LVN might call an emergency for a respiratory problem.  
39 After evaluation, the RCP might recommend a rectal suppository to assist  
the client’s bowel movement. After the bowel movement the client returned

1 to the pre-emergency state. The RCP identified a non-pulmonary cause of  
respiratory distress caused by the Val Salva maneuver required for the bowel  
3 movement. Upward abdominal pressure on the weak diaphragm compressed  
the chest cavity which decreased chest volume and airway  
5 compliance causing patient-ventilator asynchrony. Collaboration between  
the RCP for evaluation of the respiratory system and the LVN for treatment  
7 of the gastrointestinal system, along with recognition by both members that  
one specialty's problem might appear in the other specialty's area, solved the  
9 problem and further increased risk awareness of the interactions among  
physiological systems.

11 Once risks are identified, staff and the organization's leadership must  
acknowledge them through policies, procedures, and education. State  
13 regulation does not allow the facility to use protocols for treatment, as  
protocols are plans for carrying out a patient's treatment regimen before  
15 contacting a physician. This limits the facility's planning and places more  
reliance on staff member judgment and actions.

17 Because of limited resources, staff could not rely on technology to assess  
the patient or provide care. Clinical assessment at the bedside was an  
19 important factor for treating patients. This involved use of and trust in staff  
members assessment skills each day to learn to identify normal signs for the  
21 patients, to predict the direction of change (deterioration or improvement),  
and further signs to look for in such situations. Staff members relied heavily  
23 on identification of response to therapy, followed by interpretation of those  
responses.

25 For staff members, the greatest threat to identifying and engaging risk is  
unrecognized fear. The physiologic fear responses are the classic adrenaline-  
27 mediated fight and flight responses in the sympathetic nervous system and  
the cortisol-mediated freeze response (Kalin, 1993). Unrecognized fear can  
29 lead to the coning of attention during an emergency, to rapid and  
unthinking reactions to unfolding events, or to unthinking inaction as  
31 events occur. Coning of attention occurs when a caregiver maintains tight  
attention to one aspect of a problem. Importantly, this is not the same as  
33 maintaining focus, which allows for the processing of new information.

Staff members were taught to recognize these fear responses in themselves  
35 and others. Fight manifests as anger, and staff members learned to treat the  
angry parent, outside caregiver, or employee as afraid and then try to  
37 identify what triggered the fear response. This involved giving people an  
action or easily obtained objective to help them bring a sense of control to  
39 the situation. For most staff members, flight manifests itself as plausible  
avoidance, such as filling out unneeded forms or evaluating a stable patient

1 to avoid participating in the emergency. Mutual support and easy actions  
3 that one could quickly complete helped bring in or return the individual to  
information. Staff members were instructed to return to a previously  
5 accomplished action, which helped clear the fog and bring staff members'  
7 appropriate awareness of risk.

9 In addition, staff members and supervisors were taught situational  
awareness (Endlsey, 1995) where both the bedside caregiver and the clinical **AU :1**  
11 manager see the big picture. Situational awareness allows the leader to  
capture migrating decisions and integrate them into actions. The leader of  
the emergency does not micromanage.

13 To avoid micro management, the supervisors stood back during STAT  
(*statim* – a medical emergency situation requiring immediate action)  
15 responses to observe both the medical condition of the client and the  
behavior of the caregivers. The charge nurse or designated leader of the  
17 STAT team leads by managing the team and asking questions. The right  
questions come from observing the client, the responses from caregivers, and  
19 the functioning of the team. The charge nurse does not assume the role of  
bedside nurse, but oversees and manages the interaction between the  
21 caregivers and the client.

23 To develop continued attention to risk awareness, managers shared true,  
personal stories of patients who appeared to be doing fine, yet suddenly and  
25 rapidly deteriorated or developed profuse bleeding. These deteriorations  
could occur despite the absence of early detectable signs or symptoms.  
27 Stories about children who pull their own tracheostomy tubes out, lose their  
airway, and approach permanent irreversible damage were also used to  
29 provide examples of how staff members needed to be aware of and  
acknowledge client risks.

31

### *Defining Care*

33

35 Developing and using technologies to maintain life for profoundly disabled  
children is in a nascent state. In contrast, general pediatricians provide care  
37 to handicapped children with straightforward technologies, such as  
tracheostomy and gastrostomy tubes. As the complexities of a child's  
39 disability increase, so do the number of potential complications. For  
example, ventilation dramatically increases both technological complexity  
and clients' risk to die. As the degree of disability and dependence on

1 technology increases, the interactions between managing technological  
complexity and the attendant risks to clients can become deadly.

3 The medical director came to the facility without experience in the nursing  
home field or in long-term care for the profoundly disabled. The executive  
5 group wanted growth in census by direct marketing to physicians who have  
the target patient in their practice or to acute care hospitals. A conflict  
7 developed between defining characteristics that described children who  
would benefit from facility care and the type of care the facility could offer.  
9 For successful marketing, the facility should provide care that families or  
other facilities cannot. The facility also had to identify the sources of  
11 dissatisfaction from the state and methods to address the problems in an  
efficient and effective manner.

13 It seemed that, before the facility could improve care in the judgment of  
the state, care had to be defined and benefits to the child described. The  
15 defined care given by the facility staff member might not be what it appears  
at first view. The new medical director recalled a question an experienced  
17 fire fighter asked his firefighters, “What do we do in the fire department?”  
After firefighters made various attempts to answer, all related to fire  
19 suppression and rescue work, he answered, “We solve problems citizens  
cannot or will not solve themselves.” For sub-acute care, when asked,  
21 “What do we do?” we had to search deeper for the answer than “provide  
nursing home care to profoundly disabled children.”

23 The answer came, not from “Who do we treat?” or “What do we do?” but  
from “What do we say?” and “What is the response to our actions?” Clear,  
25 unambiguous descriptions and commands were needed in a culture that  
readily used slang and jargon as a part of belonging and vagueness and  
27 ambiguity as self protection. In high-risk environments where people can  
die, this use of obtuse terminology leads to deadly incidents.

29 When the physician was off-site and an occasional unstable situation  
arose, decisions had to migrate to the person with the expertise to decide,  
31 sometimes up the chain of command, but more often down the chain of  
command to the bedside caregiver. Staff members learned to articulate the  
33 situation in a clear, concise, objective manner and without slang or jargon.  
Observation and interpretation were separated, as the medical director and  
35 staff members discussed care in post-emergency critiques or on clinical  
rounds. They identified straightforward interventions that could be applied  
37 immediately and were within the scope of practice of the caregiver. An  
important aspect of this process was identifying when an intervention failed,  
39 possible contributions to failure, and means for identifying successful  
interventions.

1 Defining care also was effected through staff members' efforts to improve  
2 safety and by their identification of threatening procedures and effective  
3 treatments. Across a series of actions, the facility's objectives advanced  
4 from use of ventilators to calm children to use of ventilators to enhance their  
5 lives. One winter, a hospital PICU had a full census and returned a child to  
6 the facility for weaning from the ventilator, which was accomplished.  
7 Within the month, the same hospital, because of a full census, could not  
8 accept a client in acute respiratory failure. In both cases, the sub-acute  
9 facility provided ventilator services without the laboratory or pharmacy  
10 services found in a hospital. Subsequent to that incident, and through  
11 discussion during client rounds, the facility managers and the medical  
12 director set as an over-arching objective to keep the technology in the  
13 background to enable the child to live. This practice, too, became a defini-  
14 tion of care.

15 Continued difficulties in transferring children dependent on ventilators  
16 to the PICU led the care team to develop new models of ventilator use for  
17 these clients. The team developed the model of adjusting the ventilator to  
18 calm the child rather than using drugs for that purpose. Asynchrony  
19 between the child and ventilators was considered a medical emergency  
20 that should receive immediate attention by the RCP. This occurred  
21 through ventilation by hand, with a self-inflating resuscitator bag using  
22 high rates but shallow tidal volumes or low respiratory rates and large  
23 tidal volumes. Once the RCP achieved a calm child, ventilator settings  
24 were adjusted and the medical director was notified and discussed the  
25 situation.

26 Once it became clear that this approach produced ventilator synchrony, a  
27 search developed for specific interventions that most calmed children on  
28 ventilators. RCPs adjusted ventilator pressure for visible chest expansion.  
29 During patient agitation episodes, RCPs found that ventilator inspiratory  
30 times faster than those used in the PICU produced longer periods between  
31 agitation spells. Of all the interventions, the one that worked reliably and  
32 with greater permanence was increasing respiratory rates. As a standard  
33 practice, intensive care physicians prefer ventilator rates below 20 breaths  
34 per minute (bpm) to ensure safety from stacking breaths (too rapid  
35 respirations that lead to incomplete exhalation and chest hyper-expansion)  
36 and subsequent hypoventilation, agitation, and possible pneumothorax that  
37 could result in death. Also, lower rates reduce the risk of apnea if the  
38 ventilator becomes disconnected from the patient.

39 Because child-ventilator asynchrony continued to occur and the team  
40 could not be sure why or if higher rates kept the child calm, the team held

1 long discussions during client care rounds with all staff. It seemed children  
2 responded best to ventilator rates between 20–30 bpm. After two months  
3 discussing these counter-intuitive findings that higher ventilator rates  
4 calmed children, everyone noticed that the children were more awake and  
5 alert, and began to smile, play, and laugh.

6 The clinical care team concluded that, despite normal blood gas findings,  
7 these children had the sensation of suffocation when ventilator rates were  
8 below 20 bpm, which prevented them from crying, smiling, or laughing. The  
9 institutional objective became one of using ventilators for relief of  
10 suffocation and to produce calm, smiling children. This change in the  
11 model occurred because of decision migration and deference to expertise,  
12 the expert being the bedside caregivers.

13 While developing this evolving model of sub-acute care, the management  
14 team began a program to demonstrate to the state, through the peer review  
15 process, that the facility provided quality medical care. Presentation of  
16 research material directed the clinical team to articulate their work and the  
17 processes they used and opened their approach to a limited form of peer  
18 review. Within one year, with support from the local School of Public  
19 Health and the facility administrative staff members, the facility presented  
20 more than 20 research posters at several national conferences and one  
21 international conference.

22 Articulating ideas without hidden assumptions; questioning actions and  
23 assumptions; submission of ideas to peer review and criticism; and  
24 participation of bedside staff members in improving care all, allowed staff  
25 members and outsiders to see that the facility could improve care. Along the  
26 way, all staff members further defined what care meant.

27 Critical to the introduction of intensive care techniques to a nursing home  
28 was the support and insight of the general pediatricians on staff. With open-  
29 mindedness, the general pediatricians incorporated intensive care techniques  
30 into general pediatrics, such as the clinical identification of hypovolemia  
31 using tachycardia, prolonged capillary refill, and cool limbs. The general  
32 pediatricians also contributed ideas on how to use the ventilators for  
33 development issues, such as learning to walk while attached to the  
34 ventilator.

35 This care developed from interaction among intensive care physicians,  
36 general pediatricians, nursing, respiratory care, and administrative person-  
37 nel. The facility care teams now follow a pyramid of care, with technology  
38 supporting clients at the bottom of the pyramid. By making the technology  
39 invisible, the bedside caregivers can address medical issues. This invisibility  
allows the children to grow, thrive, smile, play, and laugh.

*How to Think and Make Decisions*

1  
3 Medical culture does not easily allow decision migration down the hierarchy  
5 to those with less medical education. One way this can happen is through  
7 the use of protocols, preplanned medical treatments, approved beforehand  
9 for use within the scope of practice of allied health practitioners. This works  
11 well with deterministic medical situations where the diagnosis or clinical  
findings determine an intervention and treatment will not have serious  
complications. A consequence of this medical culture is a lack of knowledge  
and experience about how to make independent decisions, particularly in  
situations in which limited facts are available.

13 Importantly, physicians are not always in the sub-acute facility and a  
15 nurse practitioner or physician's assistant works only a routine workweek,  
17 leaving staff members working both weekends and night shifts responsible  
19 for emergency decisions. As the level of service increased for complex  
ventilator problems, the facility managers found that staff members (CNAs  
and LVNs) did not have knowledge about how to make emergency  
decisions. Staff members also refused to make decisions because they  
believed, with good reason, that supervisors and administrators would hold  
them accountable for undesired or bad outcomes.

21 To help overcome this resistance, the medical director and RCP manager  
23 began a program to teach decision making while on clinical rounds. They  
25 understood that developing thinking and decision making is necessary to  
27 manage ventilator care without a physician on site. For example, the  
29 medical director elicited solutions to problems during clinical rounds, and  
31 regardless of the answer, the physician made it fit either by adding necessary  
33 facts or presenting reasons that the answer appeared right. He taught the  
phrase, "Every decision is the right decision, one that I would make." In  
addition, fine-tuning after each clinical decision was made without the  
presence of the physician. In a sub-acute facility, a major impediment for  
staff members is reserving the use of emergency decision-making techniques  
only for emergencies. The problem, as noted previously, is that of  
identifying an emergency in its early, latent phase. The guiding principle  
became, "What you do everyday is what you do in an emergency."

35 For this purpose, John Boyd's OODA Loop (Hammond, 2001; Coram,  
37 2002) was a helpful aid in structuring the decision process. Col. Boyd  
developed the OODA loop in response to increased US losses of aircraft in  
aerial combat during the war in Vietnam. It increases the speed of decision  
39 cycles for fighter pilots to outmaneuver their opponents. It operates with  
minimal or incomplete information about the situation.

1 The OODA Loop is an iterative set of decision processes of *observing*,  
orienting, deciding, and acting. Within the sub-acute setting, *observing*  
3 entails: acquiring sufficient knowledge for a clinical decision and no more by  
perceiving the unfolding of clinical circumstances; incorporating outside  
5 information; and drawing on professional training and experience for  
implicit guidance and control. Next, *orienting* involves the synthesis and  
7 analysis of the cultural beliefs and genetic heritage of the client caregiver; of  
previous experience with the client and others; of new information about the  
9 client or setting; of the medical facility's values, beliefs, and behaviors; and  
of human factors and performance decrements. Then, *deciding* requires staff  
11 members to hypothesize about the client's condition and how best to  
respond to it. Lastly, *acting* tests this hypothesis. Because the OODA Loop  
13 is iterative, the staff member then observes the results of acting, and so on.

The OODA Loop helps staff members engage in an emergent, problem-  
15 solving interaction with the environment. Feedback occurs from the Decide  
and Act functions to the Observe function. Feed forward occurs from the  
17 Observe to Orient and from Orient to Decide functions. For example, the  
OODA Loop allows the RCP to identify interventions for initiation of  
19 mechanical ventilation. These interventions have the objective of calming  
the client, while ensuring good chest expansion without breath stacking.

21 The OODA loop model allows rapid interventions to mitigate threat in  
time-dependent situations. Actions begin without dependence on unavail-  
23 able resources or loss of time. Disadvantages include its counter-intuitive  
nature and seemingly high risk. It requires distributed decision making,  
25 where all members have this knowledge and skill and it runs counter to the  
medical culture in which the physician is the central decision maker.

27 This program did not come easily to the RCPs, who were not accustomed  
to presenting a patient to a physician for discussion, making decisions in  
29 public, or discussing events that could go wrong. For example, after clinical  
rounds were finished, RCPs became upset that their suggestions were not  
31 heard. They believed they should not say anything during clinical rounds if  
their suggestions were not accepted. There came a time when the RCPs  
33 made a pact that no one would make suggestions during clinical rounds, but  
only provide the information the physician requested and answer his  
35 questions. The respiratory manager observed this tension within the group  
and began work with the RCP staff with particular focus on the change in  
37 behavior, where they previously made suggestions and participated in  
discussions.

39 The respiratory manager worked with staff on an individual and group  
basis to identify how different ideas can achieve the same goal. In a group

1 setting, the RCPs became more observant that each had a different method  
for reaching similar outcomes. Afterwards, the respiratory manager  
3 explained that this is what the physician had taught during clinical rounds.  
Some of the more insecure RCP staff members were hesitant to enter the  
5 discussion on clinical rounds; however, with individual attention and  
support beforehand from the physician, they would join the discussion, and  
7 began making significant contributions to care. Those who were not  
forthcoming in taking credit for their ideas were singled out and told that  
9 their ideas were valuable. This was a slow process from no suggestions or  
participation in clinical rounds toward more in-depth discussion of what  
11 each individual and the team could do to improve.

13

### *Information Flow*

15

Communication up and down the chain of command was initially vague and  
17 ambiguous. To ensure multi-directional information flow, the medical  
director encouraged the use of articulate, objective, but succinct presenta-  
19 tions. Bi-directional communication consists of concise requests for  
information and instructive material from up the hierarchy and clear  
21 expressions of what is observed in response to therapy from down the  
hierarchy.

23 Because they are at the bedside, facility staff members identify early  
heralds of deterioration, interpret the findings in context, and translate those  
25 findings to other staff members and physicians. These staff members learned  
the importance of accurately capturing data by using the data. For example,  
27 during client visits, the physician used all available records, particularly  
CNA and RCP records. Because the latter records had not been previously  
29 reviewed, they often included shortcuts. When staff members observed  
physicians or managers reviewing bedside records, the comprehensive  
31 quality of these records increased.

Routine clinical discussions pointed to the “ignorance in medicine,” and  
33 staff came to realize much of what is assumed in medicine is either not  
certain or not known. For example, physicians cannot explain why some  
35 bacteria that infect lungs do not infect connective tissue. This openness by  
the medical director and other physicians facilitated discussions about  
37 uncertainty, which both led to a research program and developed a learning  
environment. Because of this environment, managers and physicians also  
39 admitted uncertainty or saying “I don’t know,” which lead to further  
evaluation of a client’s clinical situation. Staff members now had an

1 important part in client care. During clinical rounds, staff members also  
discussed the danger of not saying, “I don’t know.” After repeated use, it  
3 became easy to say and brought all staff members into evaluations and  
discussions.

5 Decisions would sometimes need to be made based on the caregiver’s  
assessment before a confirmatory examination by the physician. After the  
7 examination, refinements in the decision would bring the decision closer to  
what it should be. Non-emergency decisions were discussed before execution  
9 to help the caregiver learn to think and decide, a major contribution to a  
high trust environment. Information flow in this manner produced less sense  
11 of isolation for staff members when working difficult situations.

With the focus on individual accomplishments, staff members became  
13 more open and willing to ask questions about improvements they could  
make, wanting to learn different approaches. Criticism directed toward any  
15 staff member became a sign of system failure. The facility willingly  
supported staff members who showed interest in growth, giving opportu-  
17 nities to use newly learned tools and advance their education. It is now  
common for CNAs to become LVNs and LVNs to become RNs while  
19 remaining in the facility’s system.

A major difficulty for information flow to enable decision making came  
21 from the methods medical caregivers use for presentation of a patient.  
Nurses tend to present the patient system-by-system with problems and  
23 treatments discussed each step of the way. They presented the respiratory  
system problem, evaluation, and treatments, and then moved to the  
25 circulatory system. RCPs discussed the respiratory system by chronic or  
active processes and the ordered treatments or those they were requesting.  
27 Physicians presented patients in a systemic manner of all information first  
followed by an assessment or diagnosis and ending in a plan. This included  
29 subjective findings communicated by the patient, and objective findings of  
the physical examination, laboratory, and radiological findings. A plan was  
31 developed only after all information was identified and discussed.

Conflict in presentations occurred when bedside staff reported incomplete  
33 findings and offered suggestions or requested treatment orders. Recognition  
of this paved the way for appropriate use of each model: the RCP active-  
35 process approach during an emergency, the nursing systemic approach when  
an acute problem developed, and the physician review-all-data approach for  
37 longer-term plans.

An example of rapid information flow the facility strived to emulate the  
39 fire team arriving at a fire. The first arriving unit provides a rapid and  
accurate evaluation of the fire called a “size up.” Similarly, in the 1970s,

1 before algorithms and protocols were used, paramedics functioned as the  
2 “eyes, ears, and hands” of the physician and presented an articulate,  
3 objective, succinct patient evaluation.

4 The facility clinical team developed a similar presentation style through  
5 the use of clinical ventilator rounds with the RCP presenting the patient and  
6 developing a plan for treatment. All discussions adhered rigorously to an  
7 articulate, succinct, objective presentation. The physician always let the final  
8 plan come from the RCP or LVN. The team then learned to identify what is  
9 important, necessary, and sufficient to make a decision.

11

## BUILDING AN HRO

13

14 Most sub-acute facility’s problems with the state licensing agency occurred  
15 from uncommon but high-risk events whereas problems with the medical  
16 reputation developed from frequent, low-impact encounters between the  
17 referral hospital staff or emergency medical service providers, and the  
18 facility patients and staff members. The existing medical culture impeded  
19 improvements in facility medical care and repair of its reputation. The  
20 dominant medical culture represents a deterministic system where success  
21 comes from proper diagnosis and the application of indicated therapies. The  
22 deterministic medical system model uses central command applied through  
23 a vertical hierarchy, limited questioning of authority, belief that authorities  
24 have solutions, and obedience. The sub-acute facility was often in an  
25 unfolding and stochastic setting, in which much is unknown and things  
26 constantly change. This required culture change from the predominant  
27 medical and nursing home cultures to a more flexible and less brittle culture.

28 The final question is whether the processes in the Libuser model are  
29 reflected in the four areas of change focused on by the change agents. We  
30 expand our analysis by asking whether additional or different processes  
31 evolved in the course of this intervention.

33

### *Process Auditing*

35

36 The numerous discussions that characterized this effort offer opportunities  
37 for audits. For example, the staff discussed risk to children. That helped  
38 them measure, in some informal way, risks to children. Recognition of fear  
39 responses reduces coning and allows people broader views of their  
40 situations. Broader views allow people to see more of the total picture

1 from which they can develop an accurate audit scheme. The continuous  
search for definitions of care is an audit process. Driving decision making  
3 down the hierarchy creates potentials for redundancy and offers the checks  
and balances one needs in an audit system. Finally intense review of bedside  
5 records makes people create more accurate records and improves the audit  
system.

7

9

### *Reward System*

11 Rewards and punishments guide behaviors in individuals and organizations.  
The clinical management team focused on rewards rather than punishments  
13 because of their greater impact on behavior (e.g. Kerr, 1975). By focusing on  
inclusiveness and internalization of values, the team worked to develop a  
15 setting in which appropriate rewards were attached to desired behaviors.  
For example, having greater influence in choosing therapies rewards those  
17 who openly discuss their thought processes.

19

21

### *Quality Degradation*

To avoid quality degradation, the management team regularly and openly  
23 discussed failures and near misses with focus on the thought processes used  
by bedside care members. The leaders studied small failures as clues to  
25 emerging or evolving large system failures. Caregivers constantly discussed  
whether individuals or teams missed something important. These discus-  
27 sions occurred in anticipation of events (proactive), and during review of  
care (retroactive). The leaders found the greatest utility of discussions  
29 during the event with interactive, real-time risk assessment.

31

33

### *Risk Awareness and Risk Acknowledgment*

Risk awareness alone does not lead to reliability; it must be followed by  
35 acknowledging that something needs to be done. Clinical discussions helped  
staff members link risk with clinical interventions. Risk lies in the situation  
37 either as probability or possibility. The medical director used the word  
*possibility* when working with vague risk and great threat. Education  
39 focused on early heralds of deterioration, physiologic time-course of  
diseases, and therapies available in the facility or the Emergency

1 Department and Intensive Care Unit. Strong responses to these weak signals  
3 facilitated engagement of the problem when interventions are most effective  
and have the least number of side effects or complications.

5 Early heralds of deterioration were problematic as they tend to be vague  
and difficult to interpret. For example, hypoxemia may indicate thick  
7 secretions that will respond to a fluid bolus through the gastrostomy tube or  
it could indicate early pneumonia. Bleeding through the tracheostomy tube  
9 may indicate problems such as trauma from suctioning, tracheitis,  
papillomatosis, bronchiectasis, or fatal innominate artery hemorrhage.

11 Early heralds also tend to be ambiguous, not clearly indicating which  
system has the pathology. Nasal flaring, tachypnea, and tachycardia develop  
13 from early respiratory disease and have also indicated positional pain  
relieved by repositioning, or gastrointestinal disease such as ileus or  
constipation.

15 Transfer by emergency services occurs when a disease state accelerates or  
the remaining therapies available to a nursing home are limited. As long as  
17 the child responds to interventions in a timely manner the facility did not  
refer the child to the acute care hospital. Non-responders (those patients  
19 who were not responding to interventions) were transferred. The team  
always thought and observed ahead of the problem to identify and know  
21 their limits.

23

### *Command and Control*

25

27 *Decision migration.* Decisions are hypotheses one acts on. In uncertain  
environments the individual with the most up-to-date knowledge of the  
29 circumstances is frequently the person at the environmental interface, yet  
the person with the greatest knowledge of principles and concepts may be  
31 the individual higher in the hierarchy and physically away from the  
situation. Use of decision processes such as the OODA Loop (above) allow  
33 rapid response to perturbations and brings control to uncertain, dangerous,  
and risky situations.

35 OODA Loop decision making also allows decisions to rapidly migrate up  
and down the hierarchy to the individual with the most expertise with the  
37 situation. Expertise does not equate with experience or rank. Formal  
teaching of decision making enables individuals to consistently make more  
complex decisions and allow them to migrate.

39 *Redundancy.* Through his reliance on team training the medical director  
built redundancy into the system. Routine clinical discussions and rounds

1 are also ways to build redundancy into situations. Efforts to define care can  
2 also contribute to redundancy because discussions occur in such efforts that  
3 illuminate the activities various individuals can substitute for those of other  
4 individuals.

5 *Senior managers with the big picture.* Throughout the previous discussion  
6 we see the medical director as a guiding hand. He tries to implement a hands  
7 off posture in order to give his staff sufficient flexibility to learn and do their  
8 jobs. These jobs are integrated through such processes as round and staff  
9 discussions. Opening up information flow adds to this. The Charge Nurse  
10 also takes a big picture view by letting his/her staff engage in operations  
11 which she manages those operations.

12 *Formal rules and procedures.* Protocols and preplanned treatments are  
13 formal rules and procedures. These are used in the deterministic situations  
14 often found in any health care unit. They are supplemented by the other  
15 command and control processes in more fluid and changing situations.

17

#### *Additional Emergent HRO Processes*

19

20 In addition to the HRO processes identified by Libuser three other processes  
21 emerged from our analysis. They are: trust, values, and beliefs.

22 *High trust.* Focus on the quality of a person's descriptions helped  
23 caregivers develop trust in other individual's observations. The leader's  
24 development, education, and transformation of individuals also helped grow  
25 the person's trust in his/her judgments. Improvement in observations and  
26 judgments occurred when a caregiver saw that decisions and actions resulted  
27 from trustworthy information the caregiver provided. Medicine typically  
28 engages in low trust during highly uncertain situations. Low trust, when  
29 used as a redundancy function can strengthen a system. Low trust used to  
30 create self-doubt in a person will weaken it.

31 *Values.* Values guide the selection of behavior and the evaluation of  
32 events, people, and self (Schwartz, 1992). They are concepts or beliefs that  
33 help interpret an individual's goals, concerns, or motivations and transcend  
34 specific situations to guide the selection or evaluation of behaviors and  
35 events. Dynamic relations exist between value types with some serving an  
36 individual's interests (e.g. self-direction) while some serve the group's  
37 interests (e.g. conformity).

38 The medical director and RCP manager fostered a system of values  
39 dependent on the situation with the expectation that values might shift from  
obedience in low-tempo times to creativity and leadership in high-tempo

1 times. During low-tempo times with predominantly deterministic problems,  
values included conformity (obedience, self-discipline, and politeness) and  
3 security (reciprocation of favors, sense of belonging, and social order) as  
described by Schwartz (1992).

5 When an indeterminant problem arises or the tempo becomes high, staff  
members change to self-direction (independence, choosing own goals,  
7 curious, and self-respect) and stimulation (varied life and daring). Security is  
a value necessary for work in high-risk environments. The means to reach  
9 security can differ from reliance on obedience to reliance on team formation.  
The sub-acute facility, in this case, used team formation.

11 *Beliefs.* Beliefs are the concepts a group shares. The medical director and  
RCP manager specifically focused on self-efficacy (e.g. Bandura, 1997) and  
13 taught that resilience supersedes rigidity in an emergency or uncertain state.  
Self-efficacy is a process of self-persuasion that involves motivational and  
15 selection processes which give the resilient self-belief that one can influence  
an outcome. Self-efficacy decreases perceived vulnerability, reduces inci-  
17 dence of intrusive negative thinking and anxiety, and enhances risk  
discernment. People develop self-efficacy through progressive mastery of  
19 decision making through use of bifurcation. The staff member makes  
decisions under a manager's guidance. If a wrong decision is made, more  
21 information is given with the goal of finding the correct response. This  
identifies how much information a staff member uses in decision making  
23 (too much or too early). The staff member always makes the final decision.

When faced with uncertainty many people find comfort in structure and  
25 rigidity. The management team encouraged finding comfort in the team and  
self-efficacy and that, together, the team can solve the problem. This  
27 requires resilience in decision processes and cooperation which are  
destroyed by overly reliant, rigid, thinking. This also allows for a flattened  
29 hierarchy during dynamic states.

## 31 THE OUTCOMES

33  
35 During the five years of the implementation process there were no changes  
in state reimbursement, patient/nurse ratio, or educational level of  
37 caregivers. During the same period the relative number of emergency  
service calls (911 calls) decreased, referrals from pediatric intensive units  
(PICUs) increased, PICU ventilators began to be used, and the number of  
39 intensive care unit ventilator-dependent clients increased. Facility staff  
members became adept at initiating ventilation for tracheostomy-dependent

1 children in acute respiratory failure without the assistance of an on-site  
2 physician or the aid of blood gas analysis or sedative medications. The focus  
3 of caregivers shifted from maintaining life to enhancing life through use of  
4 ventilator management. The goal of care for these children evolved from life  
5 maintenance to children who smile and laugh.

6 The facility's market expansion came because they entered new markets,  
7 accepting patients from different PUCUs than previously. Their reputation  
8 improved as evidenced by the fact that state authorities asked physicians  
9 thinking about developing new sub-acute facilities to visit and talk with  
10 members of this facility. In addition, at least one state inspector used the  
11 facility as a training device to show new inspectors how treatment should be  
12 given. The level of care given increased in that this facility began to use ICU  
13 type ventilators, which are more complex than home ventilators and require  
14 training not everyone can absorb. Market share increased with the  
15 utilization of this technology because the facility could handle more  
16 complex cases than previously.

17

## 19 **CONCLUDING STATEMENTS AND IMPLICATIONS**

21 HRO is a codification type of safety culture found naturalistically in risky,  
22 high-tempo environments that operate well, such as naval aviation (Roberts,  
23 1990) and the fire service (Bigley & Roberts, 1993). Resources expended to  
24 maintain safety are considered wise investments and a part of business. **AU :2**  
25 Greater demands and risks within a system, particularly fluctuating or  
26 uncertain demands and risks, require greater resources to ensure safety.

27 In low tempo, less-risky environments, use of such resources may not be  
28 available for productivity and could reduce efficiency. Under these  
29 circumstances increasing resources to decrease risk could decrease produc-  
30 tivity and efficiency and lead to economic failure of the organization.  
31 Without the differential use of resources to separate the capabilities of the  
32 system from fluctuating demands, catastrophic failure can result.

33 In the experience of this facility the use of HRO principles increased both  
34 safety and efficiency. This allowed expansion into a new market of PICU  
35 referrals and decreased regulatory sanctions. Over all cost avoidance to the  
36 state Medicaid program was great as patients were transferred from the  
37 PICU to the sub-acute facility. At the same time, the facility developed a  
38 new model which benefited these children.

39 This model of HRO use in a nursing home, which allowed it to become a  
pediatric sub-acute facility, will benefit medical caregivers in other austere

1 medical environments such as under-developed countries or disaster  
3 event will lead to a surge in hospital ICU admissions when there is little  
5 capacity to accommodate this increased load. Use of an HRO model of sub-  
7 acute facility care could allow medical facilities to accept more patients  
9 during times of crisis. Further use in medicine can include ventilator  
management in the ICU after muscle relaxant medications are no longer  
used. Adjusting the ventilator for patient comfort can decrease use of  
sedative drugs and improve patient comfort.

11 Safety and HRO process implementation are sometimes thought of as an  
13 increased cost. However, rational behavioral models predict that organiza-  
15 tions that could prevent crises would prevent them, thus reducing costs. This  
17 case demonstrates that HRO can reduce costs, improve safety, and aid in  
developing new health care markets. Other experiences in implementing  
high reliability processes in organizations show that each organization tailor  
must make processes to its own situation (e.g. BP, U.S. Chemical Safety and  
Hazards Board, Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. Navy Aviation  
Program, and Kaiser Permanente Health Care System). Just as in the  
flexibility called for in organizing for high reliability operations, flexibility is  
called for in deciding which HRO processes work in which situations.

21

23

## UNCITED REFERENCES

25

27

Bigley & Roberts (2001); Hutton (2002); Madsen, Desai, & Roberts (2006);  
Midwest Business Group on Health (2003); Nickerson (1998); Quick &  
Quick (1984); Tversky & Kahneman (1982); Weick & Sutcliffe (2001).

29

31

## REFERENCES

33

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.

35

Bigley, G. A., & Roberts, K. H. (2001). Structuring temporary systems for high reliability.  
*Academy of Management Journal*, 44, 1281–1300.

37

Coram, R. (2002). *Boyd: The fighter pilot who changed the art of war* (320pp). New York: Little  
Brown and Company.

39

Endlsey, M. (1995). Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human  
Relations*, 37, 32–64.

39

Hammond, G. T. (2001). *The mind of war: John Boyd and American security*. Washington, DC:  
Smithsonian Books.

- 1 Hutton, M. (2002). Black youths all but ignore tennis, golf and swimming as they eye the NBA. *The Post Tribune*, February 18.
- 3 Kalin, N. H. (1993). The neurobiology of fear. *Scientific American Magazine*, May.
- Kerr, S. (1975). On the folly of rewarding A while hoping for B. *Academy of Management Journal*, 18, 769–783.
- 5 Madsen, P., Desai, V., & Roberts, K. H. (2006). Designing for high reliability: The birth and evolution of a pediatric intensive care unit. *Organization Science*, 17, 239–248.
- 7 Midwest Business Group on Health. (2003). *Reducing the costs of poor-quality health care through responsible purchasing leadership*. Chicago: MBGH.
- 9 Nickerson, R.S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 175–220. **AU:3**
- 11 Quick, J. C., & Quick, J. D. (1984). *Organizational stress and preventive management*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 13 Roberts, K. H. (1990). Some characteristics of one type of high reliability organization. *Organization Science*, 1, 160–176.
- 15 Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 25, 1–65.
- 17 Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1982). Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and probability. In: D. Kahneman, P. Slovic & A. Tversky (Eds), *Judgment under uncertainty* (pp. 163–178). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 19 Weick, K. E., & Roberts, K. H. (1993). Collective mind in organizations: Heedful interrelating on flight decks. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 38, 357–381.
- 21 Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. (2001). *Managing the unexpected: Assuring high performance in an age of uncertainty*. San Francisco: Wiley.

23

25

27

29

31

33

35

37

39

**AUTHOR QUERY FORM**

	<b>Book: AHCM-V007</b>  <b>Chapter: 12</b>	<b>Please eail or fax your responses and any corrections to:</b> <b>Eail:</b> <b>Fax:</b>
---	--	---

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for typesetting, some questions may have arisen. These are listed below. Please check your typeset proof carefully and mark any corrections in the margin of the proof or compile them as a separate list\*.

**Disk use**

Sometimes we are unable to process the electronic file of your article and/or artwork. If this is the case, we have proceeded by:

- Scanning (parts of) your article     Rekeying (parts of) your article  
 Scanning the artwork

**Bibliography**

If discrepancies were noted between the literature list and the text references, the following may apply:

The references listed below were noted in the text but appear to be missing from your literature list. Please complete the list or remove the references from the text.

*Uncited references*: This section comprises references that occur in the reference list but not in the body of the text. Please position each reference in the text or delete it. Any reference not dealt with will be retained in this section

**Queries and/or remarks**

Location in Article	Query / remark	Response
AU:1	In Endlsey (1995), 'Endsley' has been changed to 'Endlsey' as per the reference list. Please confirm.	
AU:2	Bigley & Roberts (1993); Weick (1987); Rochlin, La Porte, & Roberts (1987); La Porte & Consolini (1991); Eisenhardt (1993); Gaba et al. (2003); Libuser (1994); Briassoulis et al. (2004); Graham et al. (2007); Lindsay et al. (2004) are not listed in the reference list. Please provide.	
AU:3	Pslease provide the vol. no. in Nickerson (1998).	